UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,308	05/04/2006	Yoshimasa Sakata	062490	5752
	7590 12/19/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL		EXAM	IINER
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· ·			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/578,308	SAKATA ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>MATTHEW D. MATZEK</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Robert Raheja</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	ə]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all discussed.			
Identification of prior art discussed: all discussed.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	√A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative view of Sadao. He asked if Examiner's rejection required to axis so that it had an angle of zero degrees relative to the ast rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no continuously allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE THE SUBSTANC	e asked for clarification of the he woven glass fabric to be a axis. Examiner agreed that the ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that v.d.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT'ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	rejection based of ligned along the average was his interpolar reed would render the sould render the sould render the following the sould render the sould ren	upon Ito in absorption retation and er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Matthew D Matzek/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)